STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS SECURITIES DIVISION

2	SECURITIES DIVISION								
3									
4	IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING) Order Number S-04-157-04-TO01							
5	Whether there has been a violation of the)) SUMMARY ORDER TO CEASE AND							
6	Securities Act of Washington by:) DESIST AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO) ORDER AFFIRMATIVE RELIEF							
7	Arthur A. DeLuca,)							
8	a.k.a. Duke DeLuca,))							
9	Respondent.)							

THE STATE OF WASHINGTON TO:

Arthur A. DeLuca
a.k.a. Duke DeLuca

STATEMENT OF CHARGES

Please take notice that the Securities Administrator of the State of Washington has reason to believe that the Respondent, Arthur A. DeLuca, has violated the Securities Act of Washington and that his violations justify the entry of an order of the Securities Administrator under RCW 21.20.390 to cease and desist from such violations. The Securities Administrator finds that delay in ordering the Respondent to cease and desist from such violations would be hazardous to investors and to the public and that a Summary Order to Cease and Desist should be entered immediately. The Securities Administrator finds as follows:

1

22

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS Securities Division PO Box 9033 Olympia, WA 98507-9033 360-902-8760

TENTATIVE FINDINGS OF FACT

RESPONDENTS

1. Arthur A. DeLuca ("DeLuca"), a.k.a. Duke DeLuca, is a resident of Niagara Falls, New York.

NATURE OF THE OFFERING

2. In approximately February 2002, DeLuca telephoned a Washington resident and told her that she should invest her retirement funds with him, and that if she did, she would receive a better rate of return than her current investment portfolio. DeLuca signed several promissory notes and had them mailed to the Washington resident. Under the terms of these promissory notes, DeLuca promised to pay the resident a total of \$200,000 in cash within a month. In the notes, Deluca designated the place of repayment as Mesa, Arizona or Kirkland, Washington. At the instruction of DeLuca, the resident mailed three checks totaling \$67,500 to a resident of Arizona, who in turn, passed on the funds to DeLuca. The resident wrote "Investment" on each of the three checks that she mailed.

- 3. In the offer and sale of the promissory notes, DeLuca failed to disclose material information, concerning, among other things, his experience and track record in investments, the intended use of investor proceeds, and the general and specific risk factors associated with the investment.
- 4. DeLuca did not pay the investor in accordance with the terms of the promissory notes. DeLuca lulled the investor by periodically mailing money orders to her in Washington. These postal money orders represent only a fraction of her total investment. The words "Restitution Payment" are indicated on several of the money orders.

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

REGISTRATION STATUS

- 5. The offering of promissory notes by DeLuca is not currently and has not previously been registered in the state of Washington, and no notice of claim of exemption is on file with the Securities Administrator of the State of Washington.
- 6. DeLuca is not currently and has not previously been registered as a security salesperson in the state of Washington.

THREAT TO THE INVESTING PUBLIC

- 7. The Securities Administrator finds that the continued offering of promissory notes by Deluca in the manner described in the Tentative Findings of Fact, presents a threat to the investing public.
 - Based upon the Tentative Findings of Fact, the following Conclusions of Law are made:

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The offer or sale of promissory notes by Deluca described above constitutes the offer or sale of a security, as defined in RCW 21.20.005(10) and (12).
- 2. DeLuca has violated RCW 21.20.010 because, in connection with the offer or sale of said securities, he made untrue statements of material fact or omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading.
- 3. DeLuca has violated RCW 21.20.140, the securities registration provision of the Securities Act, because he offered and/or sold securities for which there was no registration on file with the Securities Administrator, and it appears that they do not otherwise qualify for an exemption from registration.

3

1	4.
2	Se
3	sa
4	5.
5	vi
6	
7	in
8	pι
9	
10	
11	О
12	21
13	
14	ce
15	2
16	
17	D
18	R
19	sa
20	
21	
22	C
23	cc

24

25

4.	DeLuca	has	violate	d RCW	21.20	.040,	the s	salesp	erson	regi	stration	prov	ision	of	the
Securi	ties Act,	becau	use he	offered	and/or	sold	secur	rities	while	not	registei	red as	a se	curi	ities
salespe	erson or b	roker	-deale	in the s	tate of	Wash	ningto	n.							

The Securities Administrator finds that an emergency exists, that the continued olations of RCW 21.20.010, RCW 21.20.140, and RCW 21.20.040, constitutes a threat to the vesting public, and that a summary order to cease and desist from those violations is in the ublic interest and necessary for the protection of the investing public.

SUMMARY ORDER

Based on the foregoing, NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY SUMMARILY RDERED that DeLuca, his agents and employees each cease and desist from violating RCW 1.20.010, the anti-fraud section of the Securities Act.

It is further SUMMARILY ORDERED that DeLuca, his agents and employees each ease and desist from offering or selling securities in any manner in violation of RCW 1.20.140, the section of the Securities Act of Washington requiring registration.

It is further SUMMARILY ORDERED that DeLuca cease and desist from violating CW 21.20.040, the section of the Securities Act that requires registration of securities alespersons and broker-dealers.

NOTICE OF INTENT TO ORDER AFFIRMATIVE RELIEF

Pursuant to RCW 21.20.390, and based upon the above Tentative Findings of Fact and onclusions of Law, the Securities Administrator finds that affirmative action is warranted to orrect the conditions resulting from the Respondent's violations. Therefore, the Securities Administrator intends to order that DeLuca shall be liable for and shall provide appropriate affirmative action, including, without limitation, a requirement to pay the costs, fees, and other DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS **Securities Division** SUMMARY ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST AND

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
~ -

1

expenses incurred in the conduct of this investigation.

AUTHORITY AND PROCEDURE

This Order is entered pursuant to the provisions of RCW 21.20.390 and is subject to the provisions of RCW 34.05. The Respondent may make a written request for a hearing as set forth in the NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO DEFEND AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING accompanying this Order. A request for a hearing should be in writing and should be sent to Michael E. Stevenson, Securities Administrator, Department of Financial Institutions, P.O. Box 9033, Olympia, WA 98507-9033.

If a Respondent does not make a timely hearing request, the Securities Administrator intends to adopt the above Tentative Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law as final and make the Summary Order to Cease and Desist permanent as to that Respondent and impose the fine and affirmative relief as set forth.

WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.

Dated this 28th day of October, 2004

25

Michael E. Stevenson Securities Administrator

Presented by:

5

Robert Kondrat Financial Legal Examiner

Approved by:
Martin Cordell

Martin Cordell

Chief of Enforcement